



UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

- 2 -

GC2B5/124

G02B 5/124

A1

International Publication Number:

WO 92/04647

(43) International Publication Date:

19 March 1992 (19.03.92)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US91/05361

(22) International Filing Date: 29 July 1991 (29.07.91)

(30) Priority data:  
579,566 10 September 1990 (10.09.90) US

(71) Applicant: MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY [US/US]; 3M Center, Post Office Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US).

(72) Inventor: SZCZECZ, Theodore, J.; Post Office 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US).

(74) Agents: LITTLE, Douglas, B. et al.; Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, Post Office Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US).

(81) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BE (European patent), CA, CH (European patent), DE (European patent), DK (European patent), ES (European patent), FR (European patent), GB (European patent), GR (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, KR, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), NO, SE (European patent).

Published


With international search report.

With amended claims.

Date of publication of the amended claims:

16 April 1992 (16.04.92)

(54) Title: RETROREFLECTIVE MATERIAL WITH IMPROVED ANGULARITY



(57) Abstract

The present invention provides an improved cube-corner retroreflective article that exhibits a wide range of retroreflective angularity in multiple viewing planes. The present invention provides cube-corner retroreflective elements having a decreased size, as measured by the height of the cube corner, thereby decreasing the amount of canting required to achieve a given angularity. Alternatively, the angularity can be enhanced by leaving the degree of canting constant and decreasing the size of the cube corner.

## AMENDED CLAIMS

[received by the International Bureau on 16 March 1992 (16.03.92);  
original claim 4 cancelled; claims 1 and 5 amended;  
remaining claims unchanged (1 page)]

A retroreflective article comprising:

at least one matched pair of cube-corner retroreflective elements, comprising three triangular and substantially mutually perpendicular lateral faces that meet at an apex; said mutually perpendicular lateral faces of each element being defined at their bases by linear edges that lie in a common base plane; adjacent pairs of said elements rotated 180 degrees with respect to one another;

each retroreflective element having a height "h", measured from the base to the apex of the element along a line perpendicular to the base plane, h being less than about 150  $\mu\text{m}$ ; and

the optical axis of each element of the pair being tilted toward one edge of that element from the line perpendicular to said common base plane at an angle, alpha, wherein alpha is about 2° to 5°.

2. The retroreflective article of claim 1 in which the height "h" is about 25  $\mu\text{m}$  to 100  $\mu\text{m}$ .


3. The retroreflective article of claim 2 in which the height "h" is about 50  $\mu\text{m}$  to 100  $\mu\text{m}$ .

5. The retroreflective article of claim 1 wherein at least one of said elements has half groove angle errors of about 20 arc minutes or less distributed in a random pattern throughout.



(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> :  G02B 5/124	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 92/04647 (43) International Publication Date: 19 March 1992 (19.03.92)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US91/05361 (22) International Filing Date: 29 July 1991 (29.07.91) (30) Priority data: 579,566 10 September 1990 (10.09.90) US (71) Applicant: MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY [US/US]; 3M Center, Post Office Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US). (72) Inventor: SZCZECZ, Theodore, J. ; Post Office 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US). (74) Agents: LITTLE, Douglas, B. et al.; Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, Post Office Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US).	(81) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BE (European patent), CA, CH (European patent), DE (European patent), DK (European patent), ES (European patent), FR (European patent), GB (European patent), GR (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, KR, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), NO, SE (European patent).  Published <i>With international search report.          Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	

(54) Title: RETROREFLECTIVE MATERIAL WITH IMPROVED ANGULARITY



(57) Abstract

The present invention provides an improved cube-corner retroreflective article that exhibits a wide range of retroreflective angularity in multiple viewing planes. The present invention provides cube-corner retroreflective elements having a decreased size, as measured by the height of the cube corner, thereby decreasing the amount of canting required to achieve a given angularity. Alternatively, angularity can be enhanced by leaving the degree of canting constant and decreasing the size of the cube corner.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar
AU	Australia	FI	Finland	ML	Mali
BB	Barbados	FR	France	MN	Mongolia
BE	Belgium	GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania
BF	Burkina Faso	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
BG	Bulgaria	GN	Guinea	NL	Netherlands
BJ	Benin	GR	Greece	NO	Norway
BR	Brazil	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SN	Senegal
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SU*	Soviet Union
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TC	Togo
DE*	Germany	MC	Monaco	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark				

+ Any designation of "SU" has effect in the Russian Federation. It is not yet known whether any such designation has effect in other States of the former Soviet Union.

RETROREFLECTIVE MATERIAL WITH IMPROVED ANGULARITYTechnical Field

5 The present invention is directed to a cube-corner retroreflective article, and is particularly directed to such articles that have improved brightness at high angles of incidence.

Background Art

10 Cube-corner retroreflective materials are used extensively in highway signs, street signs, and pavement markers. The retroreflective material is characterized by its ability to reflect light back towards the source of a non-perpendicular incident ray. Retroreflection can be  
15 distinguished from specular reflection (i.e., mirrors), or from diffuse reflective sources, that scatter the incident light in all directions.

The reflected light from a retroreflective article generally spreads as it leaves the article into a cone-like pattern centered on the path the light travelled to  
20 the reflective article. Such spreading is necessary for practical utility of the reflective article. As an example, the light from the headlights of an oncoming vehicle, reflected back towards the vehicle by a  
25 retroreflective sign, must diverge sufficiently to reach the eyes of the driver, who is positioned off-axis from the headlight beam. In conventional cube-corner retroreflective articles, this cone-like spreading of retroreflective light is obtained through imperfections in  
30 cube-corner retroreflective elements (e.g., non-flatness of the faces, tilting of faces from their mutually perpendicular positions, etc.); and through diffraction caused because light exits through an aperture defined by the base edges of the three reflecting faces (See, Stamm  
35 U.S. Patent No. 3,712,706).

Because a road sign or the like is viewed from a multitude of relative positions (curves in the road, etc.) the angle of incidence (entrance angle of the light, see Fig. 11) is often greater than optimum. Cube-corner type  
40 retroreflective materials typically exhibit progressively less reflectivity as the entrance angle of viewing light

is increased. Often, such materials lose significant amounts of reflectivity at entrance angles of greater than about 20 degrees, and may lose nearly all of their reflectivity when the entrance angle becomes greater than about 40 degrees. The entrance angle of the incident light is measured from the line perpendicular to the surface of the retroreflective sheeting material.

Cube-corner retroreflective elements inherently have low angularity, i.e., poor retroreflective performance beyond low entrance angles. Cube-corner retroreflective elements used in retroreflective sheeting are trihedral structures having three mutually perpendicular lateral faces, such as the three mutually perpendicular lateral faces that occur at the corner of a cube. In use, the elements are arranged so that light to be retroreflected impinges into the internal space defined by the faces, and retroreflection of the impinging light occurs by total internal reflection (T.I.R.) of the light from face to face of the element. Impinging light that is inclined substantially away from the optical axis of the element (which is the trisector of the internal space defined by the faces of the element) strikes a face at an angle less than the critical angle for T.I.R., thereby passing through the face rather than being reflected.

Retroreflected articles having improved angularity along multiple viewing planes are described in U.S. Patent No. 4,588,258 (hereinafter "the '258 patent"). The retroreflected elements described in the '258 patent are canted to improve the angularity of the retroreflective material. However, as canting is increased, the percentage of light returned (as measured at near normal incidence) is decreased. Thus, in obtaining a given amount of light return, as required for adequate performance in a particular setting, there are limits on the degree to which canting can be used to improve angularity. Accordingly, in situations where angularity is particularly important, such as in an urban setting or on a winding road, angularity must be maximized without allowing brightness to fall below a threshold level.

Accordingly, there is a need for a retroreflective article that exhibits extremely high angularity, that is

particularly adapted for use in an urban setting or on a winding road, but which also has adequate brightness over the viewing distance of the sign.

5

### Disclosure of Invention

The present invention provides an improved cube-corner retroreflective article that exhibits a wide range of retroreflective angularity in multiple viewing planes. The present invention provides cube-corner retroreflective elements having a decreased size, as measured by the height of the cube corner, thereby decreasing the amount of canting required to achieve a given angularity. Alternatively, angularity can be enhanced by leaving the degree of canting constant and decreasing the size of the cube corner.

The retroreflective elements of the present invention comprise at least one matched pair of cube-corner retroreflective elements, comprising three mutually perpendicular lateral faces that meet at an apex, the mutually perpendicular lateral faces of each element being defined at their bases by linear edges that lie in a common base line. The elements are rotated  $180^\circ$  with respect to one another. Each retroreflective element has a height "h", measured from the base plane to the apex of the element along a line perpendicular to the base plane. The optical axis of each element of the pair is tilted toward the one edge (or vertex) of that element at an angle alpha from the line perpendicular to the common base plane. The optical axis of each element is tilted (canted) at an angle, alpha, typically about two to seven degrees, preferably two to five degrees. The height "h" of the retroreflective elements of the present invention are reduced from that of commercially available canted retroreflective elements so that angularity is enhanced and/or brightness is increased.

Preferably the height "h" is about  $150\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  or less, more preferably about 25 to  $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ , most preferably about 50 to  $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ . Preferred angles of cant and heights of cube-corner elements are for elements having an index of refraction of about 1.6.

Brief Description of Drawings

Fig. 1 is a perspective view showing a cube-corner  
retroreflective element used in retroreflective articles  
5 of the invention;

Figs. 2A, 2B, 2C are side elevational views of the  
lateral faces of the cube corner element shown in Fig. 1;

10 Fig. 3 is a plan view of retroreflective sheeting of  
the invention with a dense array of cube-corner elements  
as pictured in Figs. 1 and 2;

15 Figs. 4A and 4B are sectional views taken along the  
lines 4A-4A and 4B-4B of Fig. 3 showing two representative  
matched pairs of cube-corner elements in retroreflective  
sheeting of the invention;

20 Fig. 5 is a graph of isobrightness curves measured for  
reflective sheeting of the prior art;

Fig. 6 is a graph of isobrightness curves measured for  
a representative retroreflective sheeting of the  
invention;

25 Fig. 7 is a graph of isobrightness curves measured for  
a representative retroreflective sheeting of the  
invention;

30 Fig. 8 is a graph of isobrightness curves measured for  
a representative retroreflective sheeting of the  
invention;

35 Fig. 9 is a graph of luminance versus distance for the  
reflective sheetings depicted in Figs. 5-8;

Fig. 10 is a graph of luminance versus distance for  
the reflective sheetings depicted in Figs. 5-8; and



Fig. 11 is a schematic drawing depicting the devices, angles, and axes used to measure parameters of reflective materials.

5

#### Detail Description

The retroreflective elements of the present invention have the basic structure of those taught in U.S. Patent No. 4,588,258 (the '258 patent), incorporated herein by reference. However, retroreflective elements of the present invention are smaller in size than those described in the '258 patent. The smaller size permits the elements of the present invention to be canted less than those described in the '258 patent. The '258 patent describes the preferred cant to be "between about 7 and slightly less than 10 degrees" (Col. 3, lines 29-30).

Referring to Figure 1, a cube-corner retroreflective element useful in retroreflective sheeting of the present invention is shown. A side elevational view is shown in Figs. 2A, 2B, and 2C. As shown, the element 10 has three mutually perpendicular lateral faces 12, 14, and 16, which meet at an apex 18. The base edges 20 of each of the lateral faces 12, 14, and 16 are linear and lie in a base plane 22 of the element. The element 10 also includes an optical axis 24, which is a trisector of the internal angle defined by the lateral faces 12, 14, and 16. The optical axis 24 is tilted or canted with respect to the perpendicular line 26 that is perpendicular to the base plane 22. The degree of canting is represented by the angle, alpha, between the optical axis 24 and the perpendicular line 26 (see Figs. 2A and 2C). Light incident on the base plane 22, is internally reflected by one of the three lateral faces to a second face, then to a third face, and then back through the base plane 22, and retroreflected back toward the source of light.

In retroreflective sheeting of the invention, a cube-corner element as shown in Figs. 1 and 2 is generally used with at least one other cube-corner element as part of a matched pair, and typically as a part of an array of rows and columns of such pairs of elements. An array of elements is shown in plan view in Fig. 3. Fig. 3 depicts the back of a portion of a representative retroreflective

sheeting 30 of the invention. The elements are joined together, e.g., by being formed as a part of a single integral sheet material, or by being attached at their base plane 22 to a base film 32 (Fig. 4A). Because the  
5 base edges 20 of the elements 10 are linear and in a common plane (base plane 22), an array of such elements is defined by intersecting sets of grooves. Element 10' in Fig. 3 is defined by three V-shaped grooves 34, 36, and 38, which are each one member of three sets of grooves  
10 which cross the array in an intersecting pattern. The arrow 40 represents the direction of the primary grooves 42 (groove 38 is a member of the set of primary grooves 42). The elements 10 are canted with respect to the primary grooves 42, being tilted towards or away from the  
15 respective primary groove.

Fig. 4 shows in sectional view a portion of the article pictured in Fig. 3, and shows the base film 32 connecting the elements 10 together. The elements 10 have a height "h" as shown in Fig. 4A.

20 As seen from Figs. 3, 4A, and 4B the cube-corner elements 10 can be considered as being arranged in pairs, with the optical axes 24 of the elements in each pair being tilted or canted toward one edge of the elements, when considered from the front surface 50 of the article  
25 30 on which light to be retroreflected impinges. The optical axes 24 is tilted towards or away from the primary groove 42.

Referring to Figs. 5-8, isobrightness curves for various retroreflective sheetings described below  
30 (Comparative Example A and Examples 1-3) are shown. The orientation angle of the measured isobrightness varies 360° from zero degrees clockwise to 90°, 180°, 270°, and beyond. The concentric circles indicate each 15° increase of the entrance angle (incident angle) of the light being  
35 reflected. These concentric circles represent entrance angles of 15°, 30°, 45°, and 60°. Sixty degrees represents the maximum capability of the machine used for testing. The isobrightness curves plotted and labelled, 10% - 90%, represent the percentage of the maximum brightness  
40 (candelas/lux/m<sup>2</sup>). By way of example, referring to Fig. 5, at a 0° orientation angle, and a 30° entrance angle,

between 50% and 60% of the maximum light return is retroreflected.

Referring to Figs. 9 and 10, the luminance for the sheetings of Comparative Example A and Examples 1-3 are plotted against distance (meters). The curves for the respective Examples are identified as "A", "1", "2", and "3". The plot for Fig. 9 is for a 90° orientation, 0° twist (sign face positioned at 93° to the road direction), right shoulder sign, in rural ambient illumination, standard sedan, Ford Taurus headlamps. Distance is measured in meters on the X-axis and luminance is measured in candelas/m<sup>2</sup> on the Y-axis. Fig. 10 shows a luminance curve similar to Fig. 9 for a sign face positioned at 128° to the road direction (35° twist).

Referring to Fig. 11, a schematic drawing of the devices, angles, and axes of United States Federal Test Method Standard 370 is shown. The sheeting 60 is positioned such that the primary groove (42 in Fig. 3) runs in a vertical direction. A datum mark 62 is marked on the sheeting 60 so as to indicate the direction of primary grooves 42. An indicia mark 64 is marked at the center of the sheeting 60, and is the point at which the light impinges on the sheeting. The Y-axis is parallel to the primary grooves. The X-axis is perpendicular to the plane of the sheeting, and is in the same plane as, or in a parallel plane to, the plane of the cant of the retroreflective elements. The Z-axis runs through the sheeting, perpendicular to the Y-axis. An entrance plane 70 includes a light source 72, a reference axis 74, the indicia mark 64, and an axis of incident light 76. The reference axis 74 is perpendicular to the plane of the sheeting material 60, extending from the indicia mark 64. The axis of incident light 76 is the line along which the light travels from the source 72 to the indicia mark 64. A photoreceptor 80 measures the retroreflected light that travels from the sheeting 60 along an observation axis 82 to the photoreceptor 80. An orientation angle,  $O_r$ , is the angle between the entrance plane 70 and the Y-axis, or the primary grooves 42. An observation angle,  $O_o$ , is the angle between the observation axis 82 and the axis of incident light 76. An entrance angle,  $E$ , is the angle

between the axis of incident light 76 and the reference axis 74. A presentation plane 84 is a plane including the observation axis 82 and the axis of incident light 76. An angle, P, is the angle between the presentation plane 84 and the entrance plane 70.

The '258 patent defines the X-axis plane as the plane or parallel planes in which the tilting of the optical axis of the elements occurs (Column 5, lines 14-17). The Y-axis plane is defined as a plane perpendicular to the X-axis plane and the base plane of the elements (Column 5, lines 50-52). The '258 patent reports that the sheeting has a broadened angular range in the X-axis plane, as well as an increase in angular range in the Y plane (See Figs. 5 and 6 of the '258 patent). An example of sheeting according to the '258 patent is 3M diamond grade sheeting (having a cant of  $9.2^\circ$ , a cube height of  $175 \mu\text{m}$ , and nonorthogonal errors of 2.3 and 5 minutes) as described in Comparative Example A below.

The smaller and less canted cubes (e.g.,  $4.3^\circ$  cant,  $87.5 \mu\text{m}$  height), of the present invention demonstrate a broadening of the improved angularity ranges demonstrated near  $90^\circ$  and to  $270^\circ$ , and near  $0^\circ$  and  $180^\circ$  orientation. These shoulders of angularity, or a broadening of the improved angularity, near the  $90$ - $270^\circ$  and the  $0$ - $180^\circ$  orientations, is shown, for example, by comparing Fig. 5 (prior art) with Fig. 8.

Thus, within about  $0$ - $20^\circ$  of the most preferred orientations ( $90^\circ$ ,  $270^\circ$ ) and next most preferred orientations ( $0^\circ$ ,  $180^\circ$ ) improved angularity performance is also shown. This broadening of the improved response (broadened shoulders) is important because, for example, a pair of headlights on a standard sedan actually strike an intended  $90^\circ$  right shoulder sign an orientation angle of about  $104^\circ$  to  $109^\circ$ .

This result is further illustrated in Figs. 5-8 which are sets of isobrightness curves for articles of the invention (Figs. 6-8), as compared to articles of the prior art (Fig. 5).

The retroreflective sheeting described in the isobrightness curves of Figs. 5-8 are described in Comparative Example A, and Examples 1-3, respectively.

Figures 5-8 were all measured at a  $0.20^\circ$  observation angle, and a  $0^\circ$  presentation angle (see Fig. 11). The angle of cant ( $\alpha$ ), cube-height ( $h$ ), half groove angle error, and maximum brightness are summarized in Table 1 below. The isobrightness lines represent a percentage, e.g., 10%, of the maximum brightness as set forth in Table 1.

TABLE 1

Figure	Angle Alpha	Cube Height (h)	Half Groove Angle Error	Max. Brightness (candelas/lux/m <sup>2</sup> )
5	$9.2^\circ$	175 $\mu\text{m}$	2.3, -5 minutes	1254.00
6	$8.2^\circ$	87.5 $\mu\text{m}$	1, -3 minutes	1464.00
7	$9.2^\circ$	87.5 $\mu\text{m}$	4.6, -10 minutes	285.00
8	$4.3^\circ$	87.5 $\mu\text{m}$	4.6, -10 minutes	605.00

25

Referring to Fig. 5, the prior art cube corner sheeting depicted demonstrates good angularity when the sheeting is oriented on a road sign to take advantage of angularity at  $90^\circ$  and  $270^\circ$  orientation in the plot shown in Fig. 5. The apparent brightness or luminance of a sign made from this sheeting oriented to give maximum angularity was calculated for a right shoulder sign position, Ford Taurus vehicle, at distances of 300 to 30 meters from the sign.

The brightness values for this sheeting are plotted in Fig. 9 (curve A). Figure 9 depicts luminance versus distance for a maximum orientation,  $0^\circ$  twist, right shoulder sign in rural ambient illumination, standard sedan, Taurus headlamps. Distance is measured in meters on the X-axis and luminance is measured in candelas/m<sup>2</sup> on the Y-axis.

The sheeting is bright from 300 to 120 meters, but falls off rapidly in the region of 120 to 30 meters. In Figure 9, the calculation is for a sign whose face is positioned nearly perpendicular to the road direction ( $93^\circ$ ).

The angularity can be demonstrated by twisting the sign mount to increase the angle at which headlamp light impinges on the sign (entrance angle). Fig. 10 shows a luminance curve similar to Fig. 9 for a sign face positioned at  $128^\circ$  to the road direction ( $35^\circ$  twist). The plot for Comparative Example A shows that the brightness has fallen off considerably.

Referring to Fig. 6, a graph of isoretroreflectance for sheeting of the invention described in Example 1, supra, is shown. The  $90^\circ$  and  $270^\circ$  lobes extend beyond  $60^\circ$  (exceeding the instruments measurement capabilities). The 30% line is at about the same location as the 10% line for Comparative Example A (Fig. 5). This demonstrates that the smaller cube corners have redistributed the light, so that even though the canting of Example 1 sheeting is less than that of Comparative Example A, the angularity for the properly oriented sign is improved.

The luminance curves shown in Figs. 9 and 10 further illustrate the benefits of smaller cubes. Fig. 9 shows the luminance curves of Comparative Example A and Example 1 to be very similar. However, in Figure 10, when the sign mount is twisted  $35^\circ$  to increase the entrance angle by  $35^\circ$ , the luminance curve of Example 1 is significantly better than that of Comparative Example A from 300 meters to 90 meters. Thus, the performance of the sheeting of the present invention has been improved over 65% of the viewing range even though the cubes were canted less than those for the prior art sheeting.

In these two examples, luminance calculations were done for a right shoulder sign and sign position angle was limited to values between  $93^\circ$  and  $128^\circ$  as these conditions include the majority of road signs. In Fig. 6 the 10% and 20% contours are not continuous at  $60^\circ$  because the measurements reached the limit of the instrument on which data was taken.

A graph of isoretroreflectance for the sheeting, described in Example 2 is shown in Fig. 7. Comparing Figs. 5, 6, and 7 it is seen that the angularity of this sheeting near orientation angles of  $90^\circ$  and  $270^\circ$  is considerably better than that of Comparative Example A and slightly better than that of Example 1. Fig. 9 shows

Example 2 to have a lower brightness from 300 meters to 75 meters. However, from 75 meters to 30 meters the brightness of Example 2 exceeds that of either Comparative Example A or Example 1. This close-in improvement is highly desirable. Fig. 10 shows Example 2 to have adequate performance for a sign position angle of  $128^\circ$  ( $35^\circ$  twist).

The data in Fig. 7 shows that sheeting of Example 2 would perform better than sheeting of Comparative Example A or Example 1 if the sign is twisted beyond  $35^\circ$ .

The sheeting of Example 3 illustrates how the improved angularity of small cube corners has been utilized to improve performance under the widest range of conditions.

The isoretroreflectance plot for Example 3 is shown in Fig. 8. Surprisingly, the plot is an improvement over that for Comparative Example A as it is less sensitive to orientation angle. As is shown in Fig. 8, the improved angularity at  $90^\circ$ ,  $180^\circ$ ,  $270^\circ$ , and  $0^\circ$ , extends for orientation angles on either side of these four orientation angles. For example, the shoulders 56 and 58 in Fig. 8 depict good angularity at angles  $10$ - $20^\circ$  from  $270^\circ$  and  $180^\circ$ , respectively. Thus, a sign that is intended to be viewed at an orientation angle of  $270^\circ$  will also be effective if it is actually viewed at slightly different orientation angles. In this manner, the isoretroreflectance response for Example 3, depicted in Fig. 8, is less sensitive to orientation angle.

The luminance curve for Example 3 is shown in Fig. 9. This sheeting differs from the other sheetings in that there are no weak regions of performance. Most importantly, from 120 to 30 meters, Example 3 sheeting significantly out-performs the other sheetings.

Fig. 10 shows the performance at  $35^\circ$  twist. This figure shows a small sacrifice in performance compared to Example 1 from 120 to 30 meters in order to obtain the performance at  $0^\circ$  twist, a situation of much more frequent occurrence.

The sheeting of Example 3 demonstrates that smaller cube corners with reduced canting can provide: a) an angularity response directed where it is most useful; b) a uniform luminance response throughout normal viewing

distances of signs (30 to 300 meters); and c) while still providing adequate angularity for high entrance angle sign placements.

Reflective sheeting of the invention may be made as one integral material, e.g., by embossing a preformed sheet with a described array of cube-corner elements, or casting a fluid material in to a mold; or they may be made as a layered product, e.g., by casting the elements against a preformed film as taught in U.S. Pat. No. 3,684,348, or by laminating a preformed film over the front face of individual molded elements. For example, effective sheeting of the invention may be made with a nickel mold, made by electrolytic deposition of nickel onto a grooved plate. The electroformed mold may be used as a stamper to emboss the pattern of the mold onto a polycarbonate film (e.g., 500  $\mu\text{m}$  thick, having an index of refraction of about 1.59). The mold may be used in a press with the pressing done at a temperature of about 175° - 200° C.

Useful materials for making the cover sheet and reflective elements, are preferably materials which are dimensionally stable, durable, weatherable, and readily formable into the desired configuration. Examples of suitable materials include acrylics, which generally have an index of refraction of about 1.5, such as Plexiglas resin from Rohm and Haas; polycarbonates, which have an index of refraction of about 1.6; polyethylene-based ionomers (marketed under the name of "SURLYN"); polyesters; and cellulose acetate butyrates. Generally any transparent material that is formable, typically under heat and pressure, may be used. The cover sheet may also include UV absorbers or other additives as needed.

A suitable backing layer may be made of any transparent or opaque material, including colored or non-colored materials, which can be sealingly engaged with the retroreflective elements 10. Suitable backing materials include aluminum sheeting, galvanized steel, polymeric materials, such as polymethyl methacrylates, polyesters, polyamides, polyvinyl fluorides, polycarbonates, polyvinyl chlorides, and a wide variety of laminates made from these and other materials.



The backing layer or sheet may be sealed in a grid pattern or in any other suitable configuration to the reflecting elements. Sealing may be affected by use of a number of methods, including ultrasonic welding, adhesives, or by heat sealing at discrete locations on the array of reflecting elements (see, for example, U.S. Patent No. 3,924,929). Sealing is desirable to prevent entry of soil and moisture and to preserve the air spaces around the cube corner reflecting surfaces.

If added strength or toughness is required in the composite, backing sheets of polycarbonate, polybutyrate or fiber-reinforced plastic may be used. Depending upon the degree of flexibility of the resulting retroreflective material, the material may be rolled or cut into strips or other suitable designs. The retroreflective material may also be backed with an adhesive and release sheet to render it useful for application to any substrate without the added step of applying an adhesive or using other fastening means.

The retroreflective elements of the present invention may be individually tailored so as to distribute light retroreflected by the articles into a desired pattern or divergence profile, as taught by U.S. Patent application, Serial No. 933,470, filed November 21, 1986 (see also the related European Patent application 0 269 329). Typically the half groove angle error intentionally introduced will be less than  $\pm 20$  arc minutes, and of ten less than  $\pm 5$  arc minutes.

The tilts in the angles of the faces to form non-orthogonal corners may be included in sets or repeating patterns, divided into repeating sub arrays. The overall pattern of light retroreflected by an article, i.e., the divergence profile of the article, comprises the summation of the different light patterns in which the sub-arrays retroreflect incident light. Individual distinctively shaped light patterns can be selected to give the overall pattern a desired shape or contour. Alternatively, the error may be randomly distributed throughout the array.

COMPARATIVE EXAMPLE A

A retroreflective sheeting of the prior art was molded from a nickel mold. The optical axes of the cube corner elements were tilted ( $\alpha$ ) at  $9.2^\circ$  and the groove angles of this sheeting departed from the values giving orthogonal dihedral angles by  $+2.3$  and  $-5$  arc minutes, as described in the above-referenced patent. The cube corners described in this invention are truncated as opposed to full cube corners made from pin bundles. The height of the truncated cube corners, "h", is measured from the base to the apex. The height of the cube corner elements described in this example was  $175 \mu\text{m}$ .

15

EXAMPLE 1

Retroreflective sheeting of the present invention was made in a manner similar to that described for the sheeting in comparative Example A. The sheeting of Example 1 has the cube corner heights of only about  $87.5 \mu\text{m}$ , the groove angles depart from those giving orthogonal dihedral angles by  $+1$  and  $-3$  arc minutes in the same pattern as in Comparative Example A. The angle  $\alpha$  (cant) was  $8.2^\circ$ .

20

EXAMPLE 2

Retroreflective sheeting of the present invention was made in a manner similar to that described in Comparative Examples A. The cube corner height, h, was  $87.5 \mu\text{m}$ . The groove angles giving orthogonal dihedral angles were  $+4.6$  and  $-10$  arc minutes in the same pattern as the previous examples. The optical axes of the cube corner elements were tilted at an angle,  $\alpha$ , of  $9.2^\circ$ .

25

30

EXAMPLE 3

Retroreflective sheeting of the present invention was made in a manner similar to that described for the sheeting in comparative Example A. The groove angle departed from those giving orthogonal dihedral angles by  $4.6$  and  $-10$  minutes. The sheeting of Example 3 had an angle,  $\alpha$ , of only  $4.3^\circ$ , and a height, h, of  $87.5 \mu\text{m}$ .

35

CLAIMS

1. A retroreflective article comprising:

5           at least one matched pair of cube-corner retroreflective elements, comprising three substantially mutually perpendicular lateral faces that meet at an apex, said mutually perpendicular lateral faces of each element being defined at their bases by linear edges that lie  
10           in a common base plane; adjacent pairs of said elements rotated 180 degrees with respect to one another;

15           each retroreflective element having a height "h", measured from the base plane to the apex of the element along a line perpendicular to the base plane, h being less than about 150  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

20           the optical axis of each element of the pair being tilted toward one edge of that element from the line perpendicular to said common base plane at an angle, alpha, wherein alpha is about  $2^\circ$  to  $7^\circ$ .

25           2. The retroreflective article of claim 1 in which the height "h" is about 25  $\mu\text{m}$  to 100  $\mu\text{m}$ .

30           3. The retroreflective article of claim 2 in which the height "h" is about 50  $\mu\text{m}$  to 100  $\mu\text{m}$ .

            4. The retroreflective article of Claim 3 in which the angle alpha is about  $2^\circ$  to  $5^\circ$ .

35           5. The retroreflective article of Claim 1 wherein at least one of said elements have half groove angle errors of about 20 arc minutes or less distributed in a random pattern throughout.

6. The retroreflective article of Claim 5 wherein at least one of said elements have errors of about 5 arc minutes or less.

5 7. The retroreflective article of Claim 1 wherein said pairs of elements are arranged in an array of rows and columns.

8. The retroreflective article of Claim 1 further  
10 including a backing layer..

9. The retroreflective article of Claim 1 wherein said elements consist of a polymeric material having an index of refraction of about 1.5, the angle, alpha is  
15 about 2° to 5°, and the height, "h" is about 25  $\mu\text{m}$  to 100  $\mu\text{m}$ .

10. The retroreflective article of Claim 1 wherein the optical axes of the cube-corner retroreflective  
20 elements in adjacent pairs are coplanar.

25

30

35

40




FIG. 1




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B




FIG. 2C




FIG. 3




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 5




FIG. 6






FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/US 91/05361

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all)*		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC Int.Cl.5 G 02 B 5/124		
II. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum Documentation Searched?		
Classification System	Classification Symbols	
Int.Cl.5	G 02 B	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched <sup>4</sup>		
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <sup>9</sup>		
Category <sup>8</sup>	Citation of Document, <sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages <sup>12</sup>	Relevant to Claim No. <sup>13</sup>
X	US,A,4588258 (T.L. HOOPMAN) 13 May 1986, see the whole document (cited in the application)	1,7,8,10
A	---	9
A	EP,A,0175031 (AMERACE CORPORATION) 26 March 1986, see abstract; figures 2,3; page 6, lines 24-26; page 17, lines 15-17, 24-26	1-3,8,9
A	US,A,3712706 (R.F. STAMM) 23 January 1973, see abstract; figures 1,2,10a-c; column 2, lines 32-44; column 3, lines 15-54; claims 1,2,4,10,14-16 (cited in the application)	1-3,5-9
	---	
	-/-	
<p>* Special categories of cited documents: <sup>10</sup></p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> <p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</p> <p>"&amp;" document member of the same patent family</p>		
IV. CERTIFICATION		
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report	
14-01-1992	11.02.92	
International Searching Authority	Signature of Authorized Officer	
EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE	Nicole De Bie	

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)		
Category *	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No.
A	EP,A,0269329 (MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY) 1 June 1988, see abstract; figures 1-4,8,16; page 3, lines 14-32; page 4, line 42 - page 5, line 35; page 8, lines 7-21; page 11, line 55 - page 12, line 20; claims 1-7 (cited in the application) ---	1,5-9
A	US,A,3923378 (S.A. HEENAN) 2 December 1975, see figure 15; column 10, lines 45-64; claims 1,5 -----	1

# ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.

US 9105361

SA 52492

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on 04/02/92. The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US-A- 4588258	13-05-86	AU-B- 560276	02-04-87
		AU-A- 3223684	21-03-85
		CA-A- 1231326	12-01-88
		EP-A- 0137736	17-04-85
		JP-A- 60100103	04-06-85
EP-A- 0175031	26-03-86	AU-B- 557218	11-12-86
		AU-A- 3384584	13-02-86
		CA-A- 1247423	27-12-88
		CA-A- 1276046	06-11-90
		DE-A- 3474726	24-11-88
		JP-B- 3056601	28-08-91
		JP-A- 61048802	10-03-86
		US-A- 4672089	09-06-87
US-A- 3712706	23-01-73	US-A- 4618518	21-10-86
		None	
EP-A- 0269329	01-06-88	US-A- 4775219	04-10-88
		AU-B- 593147	01-02-90
		AU-A- 8018787	26-05-88
		JP-A- 63143502	15-06-88
		US-A- 4938563	03-07-90
US-A- 3923378	02-12-75	CA-A- 1002022	21-12-76
		CA-A- 1017308	13-09-77
		DE-A- 2418829	21-11-74
		FR-A, B 2227543	22-11-74
		GB-A- 1462143	19-01-77
		GB-A- 1462144	19-01-77
		US-A- 3926402	16-12-75

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**